arXiv:1012.3817v2 [math.AG] 2 Mar 2012

ON THE PLURICANONICAL MAPS OF VARIETIES OF INTERMEDIATE KODAIRA DIMENSION

XIAODONG JIANG

Abstract. In this paper we will prove a uniformity result for the Iitaka fibration $f : X \to Y$, provided that the generic fiber has a good minimal model and the variation of f is zero or that $\kappa(X) = \mathrm{dim}X - 1$.

1. Introduction

One of the main problems in complex projective algebraic geometry is to understand the structure of pluricanonical maps. Recently, Hacon and M$^{\mathrm{c}}$Kernan [HM06], Takayama [Tak06] and Tsuji [Tsu06] have proved a beautiful result stating that there is a universal constant r_n such that if X is a smooth projective variety of general type and dimension n, then the pluricanonical map

$$\phi_{rK_X} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rK_X)))$$

is birational for all $r \geq r_n$. In [HM06], Hacon and M$^{\mathrm{c}}$Kernan also proposed a related conjecture for the Iitaka fibration in the case $\mathrm{dim}X > \kappa(X) \geq 0$.

Conjecture 1.1 ([HM06, Conjecture 1.7]). *Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There is positive integer r_n with the following property: Let X be a smooth n-dimensional projective variety of non-negative Kodaira dimension. Then the rational map ϕ_{rK_X} is birationally equivalent to the Iitaka fibration for all sufficiently divisible integers $r \geq r_n$.*

The purpose of this paper is to prove Conjecture 1.1 under the hypotheses that the Iitaka fibration is isotrivial or that $\kappa(X) = \mathrm{dim}X - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *For any positive integers n, b, k, there exists an integer $m(n, b, k) > 0$ such that if $f : X \to Y$ is the Iitaka fibration with X and Y smooth projective varieties, $\mathrm{dim}X = n$, with generic fiber F of f of Kodaira dimension zero, such that*

1. *the variation of f is zero;*
2. *F has a good minimal model;*
3. *b is the smallest integer such that $h^0(F, bK_F) \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{Betti}_{\mathrm{dim(E')}}(E') \leq k$, where E' is a smooth model of the cover $E \to F$ of the generic fiber F associated to b-th root of the unique element of $|bK_F|$;*

then the pluricanonical map

$$\phi_{mK_X} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$$

is birationally equivalent to f, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that m is divisible by $m(n, b, k)$.

Date: Feb 21, 2011.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety of Kodaira dimension $n-1$ with Iitaka fibration $f : X \to Y$. Then there exists a positive integer m_n depending only on n such that ϕ_{mK_X} is birationally equivalent to f for all positive integers m divisible by m_n.*

Conjecture 1.1 has been extensively studied. In [FM00], Fujino and Mori prove that if $\kappa(X) = 1$, then (1.1) holds under the hypothesis (3) of Theorem 1.2. Viehweg and Zhang [VZ09] also obtain this uniformity result for $\kappa(X) = 2$ under the same hypothesis. A related result of [VZ09] for 3-folds has been obtained independently by Ringler [Rin07]. For arbitrary Kodaira dimension, Pacienza [Pac09] recently has given an affirmative answer to (1.1) assuming that Y is not uniruled, the Iitaka fibration f has maximal variation and the hypotheses (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.2.

We now sketch the proof of Theorem 1.2. The main idea is to follow the approach of [HM06], [Tak06] and [Tsu06]. By the Canonical Bundle Formula (cf. Section 3), there are two $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors M_Y (the moduli part) and B_Y (the boundary part) on Y, such that for all $i > 0$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ibNK_X)) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor ibN(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)\rfloor))$, where N is a positive integer depending on the hypothesis (3) of Theorem 1.2 and M_Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly trivial by the hypotheses (1) and (2) (Theorem 3.6). In order to prove Theorem 1.2, it remains to bound a multiple m of bN for which $\phi_{m(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)}$ is birational. We first show that there exists such m of the form $\alpha(\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y))^{-1/n'} + \beta$ that $\phi_{m(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)}$ is birational, where $n' = \dim Y$ and α, β are constants depending only on n, b and k. Then using techniques developed in [HMX10], we show that if M_Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly trivial, $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ can be bounded from below. Hence m admits a uniform bound.

The main difficulty is that for a very general point $y \in Y$, we need to construct an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_y which is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to $\lambda(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)$, where λ depends on $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$, such that y is an isolated non-klt center of (Y, D_y). There is a well established way for producing divisors with non-klt centers at y. The problem is that the smallest non-klt center V containing y may be of positive dimension. In order to produce an isolated non-klt center, we have to cut down the dimension of the non-klt centers. By [BCHM10], we can assume Y is the log canonical model, so $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y$ is ample. Then by Subadjunction (see Section 5) we prove that $\mathrm{vol}(V, (K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)|_V)$ is bounded by a number related to $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$. Using techniques developed in [M^{c}K02] (see Section 4), we can produce a new divisor with a smaller dimensional non-klt center at y. Repeating this procedure at most $n' - 1$ times, we get the desired divisor D_y, see Section 6.

In Theorem 1.3, the generic fiber of the Iitaka fibration f is an elliptic curve, so the hypotheses (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.2 automatically hold and $12M_Y$ is linearly equivalent to a base point free divisor on Y. Then using techniques in [HMX10] and the above argument, we prove that 1.1 holds true for any n-dimensional variety X of Kodaira dimension $n - 1$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor Professor Christopher Hacon for suggesting this problem and many useful discussions. He would also like to thank Professor Chenyang Xu for useful suggestions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation and conventions.** We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Let X be a normal variety. We say that two $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_1, D_2 on X are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent ($D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_2$) if there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that mD_i are linearly equivalent. If $D = \sum d_i D_i$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, then the round down of D is $\lfloor D \rfloor = \sum \lfloor d_i \rfloor D_i$, where $\lfloor d \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer which is at most d, and the round up of D is $\lceil D \rceil = -\lfloor -D \rfloor$.

A **log pair** (X, Δ) is a normal variety X and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor Δ on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. We say that (X, Δ) is **log smooth** if X is smooth and Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with simple normal crossings support. A projective morphism $\mu : Y \longrightarrow X$ is a **log resolution** of the pair (X, Δ) if Y is smooth and $\mu^{-1}(\Delta) \cup \{\text{exceptional set of } \mu\}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings support. We write $K_Y = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Gamma$ and $\Gamma = \sum a_i \Gamma_i$ where Γ_i are distinct reduced irreducible divisors. We call a_i the **discrepancy** of the pair (X, Δ) at Γ_i. The pair (X, Δ) is **kawamata log terminal**, klt for short (resp. **log canonical**, lc for short), if there is a log resolution $\mu : Y \longrightarrow X$ as above such that the discrepancies of Γ are strictly greater than -1, i.e. $a_i > -1$ for all i (resp. $a_i \geq -1$). A subvariety V of X is called a **non-klt center** of (X, Δ) if it is the image of a divisor of discrepancy at most -1. The **non-klt locus** Non-klt(X, Δ) of the pair (X, Δ) is the union of the non-klt centers. A non-klt center V is called a **pure log canonical center** if (X, Δ) is log canonical at the generic point of V.

If D is a Weil divisor on a normal projective variety X, then ϕ_D denotes the rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ induced by global sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

2.2. **Volumes and bounded pairs.**

Definition 2.1. Lex X be an irreducible projective variety of dimension n and D be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. The **volume** of D is

$$\operatorname{vol}(X, D) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{n! h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))}{m^n}.$$

We say that D is **big** if $\operatorname{vol}(X, D) > 0$.

We refer the reader to [Laz1] for further details.

Lemma 2.2 ([HM06, Lemma 2.2]). *Let X be a projective variety, D a divisor such that ϕ_D is birational with image Z. Then the volume of D is at least the degree of Z and hence at least* 1.

Lemma 2.3 ([HMX10, Lemma 2.3.4]). *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n and let D be a big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. If ϕ_D is birational, then $\phi_{K_X+(2n+1)(D+M)}$ is birational for any numerically trivial Cartier divisor M.*

Definition 2.4 ([HMX10, Definiton 2.4.2]). A set $\mathfrak{D}$ of log pairs is **log birationally bounded** if there is a log pair (Z, B) and a projective morphism $Z \longrightarrow T$, where T is of finite type, such that for every $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$, there is a closed point $t \in T$ and a birational map $f : Z_t \dashrightarrow X$ such that the support of B_t contains the support of the strict transform of Δ and any f-exceptional divisor.

Theorem 2.5 ([HMX10, Theorem 3.1]). *Fix a positive integer n and two constants A and $\delta > 0$. Then the set of log pairs (X, Δ) satisfying*

(1) *X is projective of dimension n,*

(2) (X, Δ) *is log canonical,*
(3) *the coefficients of* Δ *are at least* δ*,*
(4) *there is a positive integer* m *such that* $\mathrm{vol}(X, m(K_X + \Delta)) \leq A$*,*
(5) $\phi_{K_X+m(K_X+\Delta)}$ *is birational,*

is log birationally bounded.

Theorem 2.6 ([HMX10, Theorem 1.7])**.** *Fix a set* $I \subset [0, 1]$ *which satisfies the DCC. Let* $\mathfrak{D}$ *be a set of log smooth pairs* (X, Δ)*, which is log birationally bounded, such that if* $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$*, then the coefficients of* Δ *belong to* I*. Then the set*

$$\{\mathrm{vol}(X, K_X + \Delta) | (X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}\},$$

satisfies the DCC.

2.3. **Multiplier ideals and singularities of pairs.** Let X be a smooth variety. If D is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X, then the **multiplier ideal sheaf** associated to D is defined to be

$$\mathcal{J}(X, D) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'/X} - \lfloor \mu^* D \rfloor)$$

where $\mu : X' \to X$ is a log resolution of (X, D). It is known that a pair (X, D) is klt (resp. non-klt) at a point x, if and only if

$$\mathcal{J}(X, D)_x = \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \quad \text{(resp.} \quad \mathcal{J}(X, D)_x \neq \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$$

and a pair is klt if it is klt at each point $x \in X$. A pair (X, D) is lc at a point x, if and only if

$$\mathcal{J}(X, (1 - \varepsilon)D)_x = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

for all rational numbers $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and a pair is lc if it is lc at each point $x \in X$. Note that we have the following relation for non-klt locus

$$\text{Non-klt}(X, D) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{J}(X, D))_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

The following is a useful way to produce non-klt pairs.

Lemma 2.7 ([Laz2, Proposition 9.3.2])**.** *Assume that* X *is smooth of dimension* n*, and let* D *be an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor on* X*. If* $\mathrm{mult}_x D \geq n$ *at some point* $x \in X$*, then* $\mathcal{J}(X, D)$ *is non-trivial at* x*, i.e.* $\mathcal{J}(X, D) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$*, where* $\mathfrak{m}_x$ *is the maximal ideal of* x*.*

We now recall Nadel's vanishing theorem.

Theorem 2.8 ([Laz2, Theorem 9.4.8])**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety. Let* D *be an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor on* X*, and* L *a divisor on* X *such that* $L - D$ *is nef and big. Then, for all* $i > 0$*, we have*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L) \otimes \mathcal{J}(X, D)) = 0.$$

2.4. **Iitaka fibration.** Here we recall some results regarding Iitaka fibrations.

Let L be a line bundle on an irreducible projective variety X. The semigroup $\mathbf{N}(L)$ of L is

$$\mathbf{N}(L) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} | H^0(X, mL) \neq 0\}.$$

Assuming $\mathbf{N}(L) \neq (0)$, all sufficiently large elements of $\mathbf{N}(L)$ are multiples of a largest single natural number $e = e(L) \geq 1$, which we call the **exponent** of L. If $\kappa(X, L) = \kappa \geq 0$, then $\dim(\phi_{mL}(X)) = \kappa$ for all sufficiently large $m \in \mathbf{N}(L)$.

Theorem 2.9 (Iitaka fibrations, see [Laz1, Theorem 2.1.33])**.** *Let X be a normal projective variety, and L a line bundle on X such that $\kappa(X, L) > 0$. Then for all sufficiently large $k \in \mathbf{N}(L)$, there exists a commutative diagram of rational maps and morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[d, dashed, "\phi_k"'] & X_\infty \arrow[l, "u_\infty"'] \arrow[d, "\phi_\infty"] \\
Y_k & Y_\infty \arrow[l, dashed, "\nu_k"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are birational and u_∞ is a morphism. One has $\dim Y_\infty = \kappa(X, L)$*. Moreover, if we set $L_\infty = u_\infty^* L$, and F is a very general fiber of ϕ_∞, we have $\kappa(F, L_\infty|_F) = 0$.*

In this paper, we only deal with the case $L = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ and simply write $\kappa(X) = \kappa(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$. The following results are important for our induction in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties and T an algebraic variety. Assume that $f : X \to Y$ is the Iitaka fibration of (X, K_X) and $\varphi : Y \to T$ is a surjective morphism. For a very general closed point $t \in T$, let $V = \varphi^{-1}(t)$ and $W = f^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(t))$, then the restriction morphism $f_W : W \to V$ is the Iitaka fibration of (W, K_W).*

Proof. By assumption, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "f_W"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
V \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
t \arrow[r, phantom, "\in"] & T
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since t is very general, we may assume V and W are smooth and the very general fiber of f_W is just the very general fiber of f. Hence, in order to prove that f_W is the Iitaka fibration, we only need to show $\dim V \leq \kappa(W)$.

Fix an ample divisor H on Y, then there exists a positive integer m such that $mK_X \geq f^*(H)$. Since V is a smooth fiber, we have $K_X|_W = K_W$. It follows that $mK_W \geq f_W^*(H|_V)$, which implies

$$h^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(imK_W)) \geq h^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(iH|_V)) \qquad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Since $H|_V$ is ample on V, then $\kappa(W) \geq \dim V$. Therefore, f_W is the Iitaka fibration. □

Theorem 2.11 ([Lai09, Theorem 4.4])**.** *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial normal projective variety with non-negative Kodaira dimension and at most terminal singularities. Suppose that the general fiber F of the Iitaka fibration has a good minimal model, then X has a good minimal model.*

3. Canonical bundle formula

In this section, we collect some of the results regarding the direct image of the relative dualizing sheaf.

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties and $f : X \to Y$ an algebraic fiber space with generic fiber F of Kodaira dimension zero. Let b be the smallest integer such that the b-th plurigenus $h^0(F, bK_F)$ of F is non-zero. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $L_{X/Y}$ on Y such that

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor iL_{X/Y}\rfloor) \cong (f_*\mathcal{O}_X(ibK_{X/Y}))^{**}$$

and

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor ibK_Y + iL_{X/Y}\rfloor)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ibK_X))$$

for all $i > 0$. We may write the divisor $L_{X/Y}$ as

$$L_{X/Y} = L^{ss}_{X/Y} + \Delta,$$

where $L^{ss}_{X/Y}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cariter divisor, called the **semistable part** or the **moduli part**, and Δ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, called the **boundary part**. Moreover, if f satisfies the conditions as in [FM00, 4.4], then $L^{ss}_{X/Y}$ is nef and Δ has simple normal crossings support. Therefore, replacing Y by a smooth birational model, we may always assume that $L^{ss}_{X/Y}$ is nef and Δ is a simple normal crossings divisor.

In applications, it is important to bound the denominator of $L^{ss}_{X/Y}$.

Theorem 3.1 ([FM00, Theorem 3.1])**.** *Under the above notations and assumptions, let $E \to F$ be the cover associated to the b-th root of the unique element of $|bK_F|$. Let $\overline{E}$ be a nonsingular projective model of E and let B_m be its m-th Betti number. Then there is a natural number $N = N(B_m)$ depending only on B_m such that $NL^{ss}_{X/Y}$ is a divisor.*

Let $\Delta = \sum_P s_P P$. We have the following result about the coefficients s_P.

Proposition 3.2 ([FM00, Propostion 2.8])**.** *Under the notations and the assumptions as above, let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $NL^{ss}_{X/Y}$ is a Weil divisor. Then we have*

$$L_{X/Y} = L^{ss}_{X/Y} + \sum_P s_P P,$$

where $s_P \in \mathbb{Q}$ for every codimension one point P of Y is such that

1. *For each P, there exists $u_P, v_P \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that $0 < v_P \leq bN$ and $s_P = (bNu_P - v_P)/(Nu_P)$.*
2. *$s_P = 0$ if $f^*(P)$ has only canonical singularities or if $X \to Y$ has a semistable resolution in a neighbourhood of P.*

Moreover, s_P depends only on $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ where U is an open set of Y containing P.

For convenience, we write $M_Y = L^{ss}_{X/Y}/b$ and $B_Y = \Delta/b$, then all non-zero coefficients of B_Y are contained in

$$A(b, N) := \{\frac{bNu - v}{bNu} | u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; 0 < v \leq bN\}\backslash\{0\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 ([VZ09, Lemma 1.2])**.** *Under the notations as above, the following hold true.*

(1) *The set* $A(b,N)$ *is a DCC set, and one has*

$$\frac{1}{bN} \leq \inf A(b,N).$$

(2) (Y, B_Y) *is log smooth and has klt singularities.*
(3) *The* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor* $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y$ *is big.*
(4) *For every* $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*, we have*

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor sb(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)\rfloor)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(sbK_X));$$

further the map ϕ_{sbK_X} *is birational to the Iitaka fibration* f *if and only if* $|sb(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)|$ *gives rise to a birational map.*
(5) bNM_Y *is an integral nef Cartier divisor.*
(6) *If* $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *is divisible by* bN*, then* $\lfloor mB_Y\rfloor \geq (m-1)B_Y$*.*

Lemma 3.4. *Under the same notations and assumptions as in Lemma 3.3,* $(Y, M_Y + B_Y)$ *has a log terminal model and a log canonical model.*

Proof. Since $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y$ is big, we may write $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A + E$, where A is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and E is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. By (2) of Lemma 3.3, (Y, B_Y) is klt, so $(Y, B_Y + \epsilon E)$ is also klt for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. By (5) of Lemma 3.3, M_Y is nef, so $M_Y + \epsilon A$ is ample. Thus there exist a sufficiently ample divisor A' and a rational number $0 < \epsilon' \ll 1$ such that $M_Y + \epsilon A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \epsilon' A'$ and $(Y, B_Y + \epsilon E + \epsilon' A')$ is also klt. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\epsilon)(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y + M_Y + B_Y + \epsilon A + \epsilon E\\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y + B_Y + \epsilon E + \epsilon' A'.\end{aligned}$$

By [BCHM10], $(Y, B_Y + \epsilon E + \epsilon' A')$ has a log terminal model Y^m and a log canonical model Y^c. It is easy to see that Y^m (resp. Y^c) is also a log terminal model (resp. log canonical model) of $(Y, M_Y + B_Y)$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Under the notations and assumptions as in Lemma 2.10, the boundary part* B_V *of* f_W *is the restriction of* B_Y *to* V *and the moduli part* M_V *of* f_W *is* $\mathbb{Q}$*-linearly equivalent to the restriction of* M_Y*.*

Proof. Since (Y, B_Y) is log smooth and V is a very general fiber of $\varphi : Y \to T$, we may assume that $B_Y|_V$ has simple normal crossings support. Let $B_Y = \sum_P r_P P$ and $B_V = \sum_Q r'_Q Q$. Recall that $1 - r_P$ is the log canonical threshold of f^*P with respect to $(X, -D_X/b)$ over the generic point of P and $1 - r'_Q$ is the log canonical threshold of f_W^*Q with respect to $(W, -D_W/b)$ over the generic point of Q, where $D_X = bK_X - f^*(bK_Y + L_{X/Y})$ and $D_W = bK_W - f_W^*(bK_V + L_{W/V})$ (see [Fuj03, Defintion 3.4]). Since W is a very general fiber, we have $D_X|_W = D_W$. Hence $r'_Q = 0$ when Q is not contained in the support of $B_Y|_V$ and $r'_Q = r_P$ when Q is the restriction of some component P of B_Y. Therefore $B_V = B_Y|_V$. On the other hand, we have $K_V + M_V + B_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)|_V$. Hence $M_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_Y|_V$. □

Variation. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic fiber space. Let $K \supset \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraically closed field contained in $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Y)}$ such that there is a finitely generated extension L of K such that $Q(L \otimes_K \overline{\mathbb{C}(Y)}) \cong Q(\mathbb{C}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(Y)} \overline{\mathbb{C}(Y)})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Y)}$, where Q denotes the fraction field. The minimum of $\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{C}} K$ for all such K is called the **variation** of f and denoted by $\text{Var}(f)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka fibration as in* [FM00, 4.4]*. If the generic fiber F of f has a good minimal model, then the following are equivalent:*

1. *M_Y is numerically trivial.*
2. *$M_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.*
3. *$\kappa(Y, M_Y) = 0$.*
4. *$\mathrm{Var}(f) = 0$.*

Proof. (1)$\Longleftrightarrow$(2) is followed by [Amb05, Theorem 3.5]. The implication (2)$\Longrightarrow$(3) is trivial. Since F has a good minimal model, following [Kaw85, Theorem 1.1], we have (3)$\Longleftrightarrow$(4) (cf. [Fuj03, Remark 3.9]). Finally, Fujino [Fuj03, Theorem 3.11] proves the implication (4)$\Longrightarrow$(2). $\square$

4. Birational covering families of pure log canonical centers

In this section, we construct a birational covering family of pure log canonical centers.

Recall that a subset P of a variety Y is called **countably dense** if it is not contained in the union of countably many closed subsets of Y.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (Y, Δ) be a log pair, where Y is projective and let D be a big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on Y. Suppose that for every point $y \in P$, where P is a countably dense subset of Y, we can find a pair (Δ_y, W_y) such that W_y is a pure log canonical center for $K_Y + \Delta + \Delta_y$ at y and $\Delta_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D/w_y$ for some positive rational number w_y. Then there exists a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y' \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & Y \\ T & \end{tikzcd}$$

such that φ is a dominant morphism of normal projective varieties with connected fibers and for a general fiber V_t of φ there exists $y \in \varphi(V_t)$ so that $\varphi(V_t)$ is a pure log canonical center for $K_Y + \Delta + \Delta_t$ with $\Delta_t \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D/w$ at y, for some weight w. Also π is a generically finite and dominant morphism of normal varieties.

Proof. See [M^{c}K02, Lemma 3.2] or [Tod07, Lemma 3.2]. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 (M^{c}Kernan)**.** *Let (Y, Δ) be a log pair, where Y is a normal projective variety of dimension n'. Let D be a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. Let (Δ_t, V_t) be a covering family of weight less than w and dimension k.*

If (Δ_t, V_t) is not birational then we may find a covering family of (Γ_s, W_s) of weight $w/(n' - k)$ and dimension l, where either

1. *$l > k$, or*
2. *$l < k$ and (Γ_s, W_s) is a birational family.*

Remark 4.3. Lemma 4.2 still holds if we only assume that D is big instead of nef and big.

Proof. See [M^{c}K02, Lemma 4.2]. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let (Y, Δ) be a log pair, where Y is a normal projective variety of dimension n'. Let D be a big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. Let (Δ_t, V_t) be a covering family of weight w and dimension k. Then there exists a birational covering family of (Γ_s, W_s) of weight $w' \geq w/(n' - 1)!$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 4.2. □

By Lemma 3.3, $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y$ is a big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Y, where Y is a smooth projective variety of dimension n', so for each point $y \in Y$, we can find a pair (D_y, V_y) such that

1. $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$, for some rational number $\lambda > 0$,
2. V_y is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_y) at y.

Note that we can take the same λ for every point in a countably dense subset of Y with $\dim(V_y) = k$. Then by the previous corollary we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & Y \\
T &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

1. π is birational and φ is dominant.
2. Let $V_t = \pi(V_t')$, where V_t' is a general fiber of φ. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_t \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda'(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ on Y such that V_t is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_t) and $\lambda' \leq \lambda(n'-1)!$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka fibration satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that for any y in a countably dense subset of Y, there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ such that $y \in \text{Non-klt}(Y, D_y)$. Then there exists a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & Y \\
T &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

1. *X' and Y' are smooth projective varieties.*
2. *π is birational, φ is dominant with* $\dim T \geq 0$ *and f' satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2.*
3. *For any very general fiber V_t' of φ, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_t' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda'(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$ on Y' such that V_t' is a pure log canonical center of (Y', D_t') and $\lambda' \leq \lambda(n'-1)!$, where $n' = \dim Y$.*

Proof. By our discussions above, there exists a covering family $Y' \overset{\varphi}{\to} T$ such that $Y' \overset{\pi}{\to} Y$ is birational. Now replace Y' by a smooth model and let X' be the resolution of the main component of $X \times_Y Y'$. It is easy to see that f' and f have the same generic fiber. Hence, (1) and (2) are satisfied. We only need to show (3).

Let $V_t = \pi(V_t')$. By our assumptions and previous discussions, there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_t \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda'(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ on Y such that V_t is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_t) and $\lambda' \leq \lambda(n'-1)!$. Since π is birational, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ sufficiently divisible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(m(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'}))) &\cong H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mK_{X'})) \\ &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \\ &\cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y))). \end{aligned}$$

So there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D'_t \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda'(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$ on Y' such that $\pi(D'_t) = D_t$. Since V'_t is a very general fiber of φ, (Y', D'_t, V'_t) and (Y, D_t, V_t) are isomorphic at the generic point of V'_t. Therefore, V'_t is a pure log canonical center of (Y', D'_t). ☐

Lemma 4.6 ([M^cK02, Lemma 5.3])**.** *Let (Y, Δ) be a log pair and let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor of the form $A + E$ where A is ample and E is effective. Let (Δ_t, V_t) be a covering family of weight greater than w and dimension k. Let A_t be the restriction of A to V_t. Suppose that for all very general points $t \in U$ we may find a covering family of $(\Gamma_{t,s}, W_{t,s})$ on V_t of weight, with respect to A_t, greater than w'.*

Then we may find a covering family of (Γ_s, W_s) of dimension less than k and weight

$$w'' = \frac{ww'}{w + w'}.$$

Further if both (Δ_t, V_t) and $(\Gamma_{t,s}, W_{t,s})$ are birational families then so is (Γ_s, W_s).

5. SUBADJUNCTION

In his fundamental paper [Kaw98], Kawamata proves a remarkable subadjunction theorem. An immediate consequence of this theorem is that if (X, D) is a log canonical pair, V is a non-klt center of (X, D), then we have $(K_X + D)|_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_V + \Delta_V$, where Δ_V is a pseudoeffective divisor on V. Actually, one can prove a more precise result.

Proposition 5.1 (Subadjunction)**.** *Let X be a normal variety and D an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that (X, D) is a log pair. If V is a pure log canonical center of (X, D) and $\nu : V^{\nu} \to V$ is the normalization, then we have*

$$(K_X + D)|_{V^{\nu}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{V^{\nu}} + \Delta_{V^{\nu}},$$

where $\Delta_{V^{\nu}}$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor.

Remark 5.2. Recently, Fujino and Gongyo [FG10] prove the much stronger result that if (X, D) is an lc pair and V is a minimal non-klt center of (X, D), then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ_V on V such that $(K_X + D)|_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_V + \Delta_V$ and (V, Δ_V) is klt.

This result depends on Ambro's results on the moduli (**b-**)divisor associated to an lc-trivial fibration .

Theorem 5.3 (Ambro)**.** *Let $f : (X, B) \to Y$ be an lc-trivial fibration such that the generic geometric fiber $X_{\bar{\eta}} = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\overline{k(Y)})$ is a projective variety and $B_{\bar{\eta}}$ is effective. Then there exists a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X,B) \arrow[d, "f"'] & & (X^!,B^!) \arrow[d, "f^!"'] \\
Y & \bar{Y} \arrow[l, "\tau"'] \arrow[r, "\varrho"] & Y^!
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- *$f^! : (X^!, B^!) \to Y^!$ is an lc-trivial fibration.*
- *τ is generically finite and surjective and ϱ is surjective.*
- *There exists a nonempty open subset $U \subset \bar{Y}$ and an isomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X,B) \times_Y \bar{Y}|_U \arrow[rr, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr] & & (X^!,B^!) \times_{Y^!} \bar{Y}|_U \arrow[dl] \\
& U &
\end{tikzcd}$$

- *Let $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}^!$ be the corresponding moduli $\mathbb{Q}$-b-divisors. Then $\mathbf{M}^!$ is b-nef and big and $\tau^*\mathbf{M} = \varrho^*(\mathbf{M}^!)$, which implies $\mathbf{M}$ is b-nef and good. In particular, $\mathbf{M}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.*

Proof. See [Amb05, Theorem 3.3]. □

Before giving the proof of 5.1, we need the following useful lemmas.

Lemma 5.4 (Hacon)**.** *Let X be a normal quasi-projective variety and B a boundary $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Then, there exists a projective birational morphism $f : Y \to X$ from a normal quasi-projective variety Y with the following properties.*

1. *Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*
2. *$a(E, X, B) \leq -1$ for every f-exceptional divisor E on Y.*
3. *We put*
$$B_Y = f_*^{-1}B + \sum_{E:\subset Ex(f)} E.$$
Then (Y, B_Y) is dlt and
$$K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum_{a(E,X,B)<-1} (a(E, X, B) + 1)E.$$
In particular, if (X, B) is lc, then $K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$. Moreover, if (X, B) is dlt, then we can assume that f is small, that is, f is an isomorphism in codimension one.

Proof. See e.g. [Fuj09, Theorem 10.4]. □

Remark 5.5. Lemma 5.4 still holds if the coefficients of some components of B are greater than 1. But we need to replace (3) by

(3') Let
$$B_Y = f_*^{-1}B^{\leq 1} + \mathrm{Supp} f_*^{-1}B^{>1} + \sum_{E:\subset Ex(f)} E.$$

Then (Y, B_Y) is dlt and

$$K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum_{a(F,X,B)<-1} (a(F, X, B) + 1)F.$$

Lemma 5.6 (Adjunction for dlt pairs)**.** *Let (X, D) be a dlt pair. We put $S = \lfloor D \rfloor$ and let $S = \sum_{i\in I} S_i$ be the irreducible decompostion of S. Then, W is a non-klt centre for the pair (X, D) with $\mathrm{codim}_X W = k$ if and only if W is an irreducible component of $S_{i_1} \cap S_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap S_{i_k}$ for some $\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k\} \subset I$. By adjunction, we obtain*

$$K_{S_{i_1}} + \mathrm{Diff}(D - S_{i_1}) = (K_X + D)|_{S_{i_1}},$$

and $(S_{i_1}, \mathrm{Diff}(D - S_{i_1}))$ is dlt. Note that S_{i_1} is normal, W is a non-klt center for the pair $(S_{i_1}, \mathrm{Diff}(D - S_{i_1}))$, $S_{i_j}|_{S_{i_1}}$ is a reduced component of $\mathrm{Diff}(D - S_{i_1})$ for $2 \le j \le k$, and W is an irreducible component of $(S_{i_2}|_{S_{i_1}}) \cap (S_{i_3}|_{S_{i_1}}) \cap \cdots \cap (S_{i_k}|_{S_{i_1}})$. By applying adjunction k times, we obtain a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta \ge 0$ on W such that

$$(K_X + D)|_W = K_W + \Delta$$

and (W, Δ) is dlt.

Proof. See [Cor07, Proposition 3.9.2]. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Applying Lemma 5.4 and Remark 5.5, we may get a morphism $f : Y \to X$ satisfying the properties of Lemma 5.4. Let $D_Y = f_*^{-1}D^{\le 1} + \mathrm{Supp} f_*^{-1}D^{>1} + \sum_{E:\subset Ex(f)} E$. Then we have

$$f^*(K_X + D) = K_Y + D_Y - \sum_{a(F,X,D)<-1} (a(F, X, D) + 1)F,$$

and the pair (Y, D_Y) is dlt. Since V is a pure log canonical center of (X, D), F is vertical over V if $a(F, X, D) < -1$.

Let W be a minimal non-klt center of (Y, D_Y) over the generic point of V and $\nu : V^\nu \to V$ the normalization of V. We obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & W \arrow[rr, hook] \arrow[d, "g"] \arrow[dl, "s"'] & & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
U \arrow[r, "t"] & V^\nu \arrow[r, "\nu"] & V \arrow[r, hook] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $g : W \to V^\nu$ is the induced morphism and $W \xrightarrow{s} U \xrightarrow{t} V^\nu$ is the Stein factorization of g.

By Lemma 5.6, there exists a log pair (W, Δ_W), where $\Delta_W \ge 0$, such that

$$K_W + \Delta_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K_Y + D_Y - \sum_{a(F,X,D)<-1} (a(F, X, D) + 1)F)|_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + D)|_W,$$

and the non-klt centers of (W, Δ_W) are vertical over V^ν, so (W, Δ_W) has klt singularities over the generic point of V^ν. It follows that (W, Δ_W) is klt over the generic point of U. Moreover,

$$K_W + \Delta_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*((K_X + D)|_{V^\nu}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} s^*((K_X + D)|_U).$$

Therefore, $s : (W, \Delta_W) \to U$ is an lc-trivial fibration as defined in [Amb04, Definition 2.1].

We may write $(K_X+D)|_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_U+M+B$, where M is the moduli part and B is the boundary part of this lc-trivial fibration. Since $\Delta_W \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$. By Theorem 5.3, we may assume that M is effective. Let $\Delta_U = M+B$, then,

$$(K_X+D)|_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_U+\Delta_U$$

and $\Delta_U \geq 0$. Since $t : U \to V^\nu$ is finite and $K_U+\Delta_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t^*((K_X+D)|_{V^\nu})$, it is easy to see that there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ_{V^ν} on V^ν such that

$$(K_X+D)|_{V^\nu} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{V^\nu}+\Delta_{V^\nu}.$$

□

6. Creating isolated non-klt centers

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that Theorem 1.2 holds for varieties of dimensions* $< n$. *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be the Iitaka fibration satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 with* $\dim X = n$ *and* $\dim Y = n'$. *Then there exist positive constants* α *and* β *depending on* n, b *and* k, *such that for any very general point* $y \in Y$ *there is an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor* D_y *such that*

1. $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)$, *where* $\lambda < \dfrac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)^{1/n'}}+\beta$;
2. y *is an isolated point of* $\text{Non-klt}(Y, D_y)$.

Proof. Take a very general point $y \in Y$. Since $K_Y+M_Y+B_Y$ is big, by the argument in the proof of [Pac09, Theorem 6.2], we can pick an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda_0(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)$ which has multiplicity $> n_0$ at y, where $n_0 = n'$ and $\lambda_0 < n_0(\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y+M_Y+B_Y))^{-1/n_0}+\varepsilon_0$ with $1 \gg \varepsilon_0 > 0$. Hence there is a component V_0 of $\text{Non-klt}(Y, D_0)$ passing through y. Multiplying D_0 by a positive rational number ≤ 1, we can assume that V_0 is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_0).

By Proposition 4.5, we may replace Y with a higher smooth birational model such that there exists a morphism $\varphi : Y \to T$ satisfying the properties of 4.5. Therefore, the point y is contained in a very general fiber V_1 of φ and there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda_1(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)$ on Y with $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0(n_0-1)! < n_0!(\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y+M_Y+B_Y))^{-1/n_0}+\varepsilon_0(n_0-1)!$, such that V_1 is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_1).

By Lemma 3.4, there is a log canonical model Y' of (Y, M_Y+B_Y). Replacing Y with a higher smooth birational model, we may assume that there is a morphism $\phi : Y \to Y'$. Let $M_{Y'} = \phi_* M_Y$ and $B_{Y'} = \phi_* B_Y$. Then $K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and ample on Y'.

By our assumption, the generic fiber of f has a good minimal model. Applying Theorem 2.11, there exists a good minimal model X' of X. Replacing X with a higher smooth birational model, we may assume that there is a morphism $\psi : X \to$

X'. Hence, we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & X' \arrow[d, dashed, "f'"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & Y' \\ T & \end{tikzcd}$$

where f' is the induced rational map.

Remark 6.2. The generic fiber of f may have changed after running the Minimal Model Program, so f may not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. But since our new X is a higher birational model of the original one, we do not change either M_Y or B_Y by the Canonical Bundle Formula.

Lemma 6.3. *We have the following:*

1. Y' *is isomorphic to the weak canonical model* $(X')^w$ *of* X' *in the sense that*

$$(X')^w = \mathrm{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mK_{X'})).$$

2. f' *is a morphism and* $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$.

Proof. X' is a good minimal model, so X' admits a morphism to its weak canonical model $(X')^w$. On the other hand, $K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ is ample on Y', so

$$Y' = \mathrm{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\lfloor m(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'}) \rfloor)).$$

If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is sufficiently divisible, by the Canonical Bundle Formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mK_{X'})) &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \\ &\cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) \rfloor)) \\ &\cong H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\lfloor m(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'}) \rfloor)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Y' is the weak canonical model of X' and (2) follows from (1). $\square$

Now let $y' = \phi(y)$, $V_1' = \phi(V_1)$, and $D_1' = \phi_*(D_1)$ and let $n_1 = \dim V_1 = \dim V_1'$. Since V_1 is a pure log canonical center of (Y, D_1) and y' is very general, it follows that V_1' is a pure log canonical center of $(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D_1')$ at y'. Let $W_1 = f^{-1}(V_1)$, $W_1' = f'^{-1}(V_1')$, $V_1'^{\nu}$ the normalization of V_1', $W_1'^{\nu}$ the normalization of W_1' and

$\gamma : W_1^\nu \to V_1^\nu$ the induced morphism. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_1 \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, hook] \arrow[dd, "f_{W_1}"'] & & W_1^\nu \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, "\gamma"] & W_1' \arrow[dr, hook] \arrow[dd] & \\
& X \arrow[rrr] \arrow[dd] & & & X' \arrow[dd] \\
V_1 \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, hook] \arrow[dd] & & V_1^\nu \arrow[r] & V_1' \arrow[dr, hook] & \\
& Y \arrow[rrr] \arrow[dd] & & & Y' \\
t_1 \arrow[dr, phantom, "\in"] & & & & \\
& T & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 3.5, the morphism $f_{W_1} : W_1 \to V_1$ is the Iitaka fibration of (W_1, K_{W_1}) and the moduli part M_{V_1} of f_{W_1} is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to the restriction of M_Y to V_1. Thus we can assume that f_{W_1} satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 6.4. As in Remark 6.2, the generic fiber of f_{W_1} may be different from the original one. However this does not affect the computation of M_{V_1} and B_{V_1}.

Lemma 6.5. *There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ depending on $n-1, b$ and k, such that* $\mathrm{vol}(V_1, K_{V_1} + M_{V_1} + B_{V_1}) \geq \delta$.

Proof. Since $\dim W_1 < n$, by our assumptions in Proposition 6.1, there exists a positive integer m_1 depending on $n-1, b$ and k, such that $\phi_{m_1(K_{V_1}+M_{V_1}+B_{V_1})}$ gives a birational map. Then $\mathrm{vol}(V_1, m_1(K_{V_1} + M_{V_1} + B_{V_1})) \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}(V_1, K_{V_1} + M_{V_1} + B_{V_1}) &= \frac{1}{m_1^{n_1}} \mathrm{vol}(V_1, m_1(K_{V_1} + M_{V_1} + B_{V_1})) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{m_1^{n_1}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{m_1^{n-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let δ be $1/m_1^{n-1}$. □

We have the following fact.

Lemma 6.6. $\mathrm{vol}(V_1', (K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D_1')|_{V_1'}) \geq \delta$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, we have $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$. V_1' is a pure log canonical center of $(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D_1')$ and y' is a very general point of Y', so W_1' is a pure log canonical center of $(X', f'^* D_1')$.

By Proposition 5.1, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta_{W_1^\nu}$ on W_1^ν, such that

$$(K_{X'} + f'^* D_1')|_{W_1^\nu} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{W_1^\nu} + \Delta_{W_1^\nu}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(K_{X'} + f'^* D_1')|_{W_1^\nu} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma^*((K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D_1')|_{V_1^\nu}).$$

For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ sufficiently divisible, by the Projection Formula we have

$$h^0(W_1^\nu, \mathcal{O}_{W_1^\nu}(m(K_{W_1^\nu} + \Delta_{W_1^\nu}))) = h^0(V_1^\nu, \mathcal{O}_{V_1^\nu}(m(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D_1')|_{V_1^\nu})). \quad (*)$$

By the Canonical Bundle Formula,

$$h^0(W_1,\mathcal{O}_{W_1}(mK_{W_1}))=h^0(V_1,\mathcal{O}_{V_1}(m(K_{V_1}+M_{V_1}+B_{V_1}))). \qquad (**)$$

Since W_1 is smooth and $\Delta_{W_1^\nu}\geq 0$, it follows that

$$h^0(W_1^\nu,\mathcal{O}_{W_1^\nu}(m(K_{W_1^\nu}+\Delta_{W_1^\nu})))\geq h^0(W_1,\mathcal{O}_{W_1}(mK_{W_1})).$$

Therefore, by equations $(*)$ and $(**)$,

$$h^0(V_1^\nu,\mathcal{O}_{V_1^\nu}(m(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}+D_1')|_{V_1^\nu}))\geq h^0(V_1,\mathcal{O}_{V_1}(m(K_{V_1}+M_{V_1}+B_{V_1}))),$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{vol}(V_1^\nu,(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}+D_1')|_{V_1^\nu})\geq \mathrm{vol}(V_1,K_{V_1}+M_{V_1}+B_{V_1}).$$

Note that the normalization $\nu: V_1^\nu\to V_1'$ is birational. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(V_1',(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}+D_1')|_{V_1'}) &= \mathrm{vol}(V_1^\nu,(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}+D_1')|_{V_1^\nu})\\ &\geq \mathrm{vol}(V_1,K_{V_1}+M_{V_1}+B_{V_1})\\ &\geq \delta.\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\phi_{V_1}: V_1\to V_1'$ be the restriction of ϕ to V_1. We have

$$\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_1}\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\phi_{V_1}^*((K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_1'}).$$

Recall that $D_1'\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda_1(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})$, so by Lemma 6.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(V_1,\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_1}) &= \mathrm{vol}(V_1',(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_1'})\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}(V_1',(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'}+D_1')|_{V_1'})}{(1+\lambda_1)^{n_1}}\\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{(1+\lambda_1)^{n_1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for any very general fiber V_t of φ, we always have

$$\mathrm{vol}(V_t,\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_t})\geq\delta(1+\lambda_1)^{-n_1}.$$

Then for any point $p\in V_t$, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $E_{t,p}\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda_{t,p}(\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_t})$ on V_t such that $\mathrm{mult}_pE_{t,p}>n_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{t,p} &< \frac{n_1}{\mathrm{vol}(V_t,\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_t})^{1/n_1}}+\varepsilon_1\\ &< \frac{n_0!n_1}{\delta^{1/n_1}\mathrm{vol}(Y,K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)^{1/n_0}}+(1+\varepsilon_0(n_0-1)!)\frac{n_1}{\delta^{1/n_1}}+\varepsilon_1\end{aligned}$$

where $0<\varepsilon_1\ll 1$. This implies that there is a component of Non-klt$(V_t,E_{t,p})$ passing through p. Multiplying $E_{t,p}$ by a positive rational number ≤ 1, we can assume that p is contained in a pure log canonical center of $(V_t,E_{t,p})$.

Applying Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.4, there exists a birational covering family of $(\Gamma_{t,s},W_{t,s})$ on V_t of weight w' with respect to $\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_t}$ such that $\Gamma_{t,s}\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}(1/w')\phi^*(K_{Y'}+M_{Y'}+B_{Y'})|_{V_t}$ and the image of $W_{t,s}$ on V_t is a pure log canonical center of $(V_t,\Gamma_{t,s})$, where

$$\frac{1}{w'}<\frac{n_0!n_1!}{\delta^{1/n_1}\mathrm{vol}(Y,K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)^{1/n_0}}+(1+\varepsilon_0(n_0-1)!)\frac{n_1!}{\delta^{1/n_1}}+\varepsilon_1(n_1-1)!.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we can find a new birational covering family of (D'_s, V''_s) on Y' of dimension less than n_1 and weight w'' such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{w''} &= \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{w'} \\ &< \frac{n_0!n_1!\delta^{-1/n_1} + n_0!}{\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)^{1/n_0}} \\ &\quad + (1+\varepsilon_0(n_0-1)!)\frac{n_1!}{\delta^{1/n_1}} + \varepsilon_1(n_1-1)! + \varepsilon_0(n_0-1)!.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y'' \arrow[r, "\phi''"] \arrow[d, "\varphi''"'] & Y' \\ S & \end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ'' is birational and φ'' is surjective. For the very general point $y' \in Y'$, there are an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D'_s \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda_2(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$ on Y' with $\lambda_2 = 1/w''$ and a very general fiber V''_s of φ'' such that $V'_2 = \phi''(V''_s)$ is a pure log canonical center of $(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D'_s)$ at y' with $\mathrm{dim}V'_2 < \mathrm{dim}V'_1 = n_1$. Replacing Y'' with the common higher smooth model of Y, Y' and Y'', we can assume that Y'' is smooth and the dimension of any very general fiber of $\varphi'' : Y'' \to S$ is strictly less than that of $\varphi : Y \to T$. The moduli part $M_{Y''}$ on Y'' is still $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly trivial, since it is the pullback of M_Y.

Repeating above procedure at most $n'-1$ times, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_{Y'} + M_{Y'} + B_{Y'})$ on Y' with $\lambda < \alpha(\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y))^{-1/n'} + \beta$, where α and β depend only on n, k and b, such that y' is a pure log canonical center of $(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D')$. By the standard tie-breaking technique, we can assume that y' is the unique non-klt center of $(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D')$ on a neighborhood of y', i.e. y' is an isolated point of Non-klt$(Y', M_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + D')$. Since Y' and Y are birational, there is a unique effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ on Y such that $\phi_*(D_y) = D'$. Then D_y satisfies the requirements in Proposition 6.1. This completes the proof. □

Remark 6.7. If we assume Theorem 1.2 without the hypothesis (1) holds for varieties of dimension $< n$ (i.e. we do not assume that $M_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$), then for any Iitaka fibration $f : X \to Y$ satisfying the hypotheses (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.2 with $\mathrm{dim}X = n$ and $\mathrm{dim}Y = n'$, the conclusion of Proposition 6.1 still holds. Therefore, if Theorem 1.3 holds for varieties of dimension $< n$, then for any n-dimensional variety X of Kodaira dimension $n-1$ with Iitaka fibration $f : X \to Y$, there exist positive constants α and β depending only on n such that for any very general point $y \in Y$ there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_y satisfying (1) and (2) of Proposition 6.1.

7. Proof of 1.2 and 1.3

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka fibration satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. Let m_0 be a positive integer and assume that for any very general point $y \in Y$, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ where $\lambda \leq m_0 - 1$, such that y is an isolated point in* Non-klt(Y, D_y)*. Then for all $m \geq m_0$ such that mM_Y is an integral divisor, i.e. m is divisible by bN, we have $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) > 0$ and moreover, if $m \geq 2m_0$, then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Since $K_Y + M_Y + B_Y$ is big, there exist an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor H and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor G on Y such that $K_Y+M_Y+B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} H+G$. Pick a very general point $y \in Y$ not contained in the support of $G + B_Y$. By Lemma 3.3, the divisor $(\lfloor mB_Y\rfloor - (m-1)B_Y)$ is effective. Let $D'_y = D_y + (m-1-\lambda)G + \lfloor mB_Y\rfloor - (m-1)B_Y$. Then

$$\lfloor m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)\rfloor - K_Y - D'_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m-1-\lambda)H + M_Y$$

is ample so that $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)\rfloor) \otimes \mathcal{J}(Y, D'_y)) = 0$.

Consider the short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on Y

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)\rfloor) \otimes \mathcal{J}(Y, D'_y) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)\rfloor) \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes the corresponding quotient. By the discussion above, the map

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)\rfloor)) \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{Q})$$

is surjective. Since y is an isolated point in Non-klt(Y, D'_y), $\mathbb{C}_y$ is a direct summand of $H^0(Y, \mathcal{Q})$. Thus, we have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)\rfloor)) > 0.$$

Pick a very general point $y_1 \in Y$. Then there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_{y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ such that y_1 is an isolated point in Non-klt(Y, D_{y_1}). Now we may pick a very general point $y_2 \in Y$ not contained in the support of D_{y_1}, and pick a very general divisor $D_{y_2} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ such that y_2 is an isolated point in Non-klt(Y, D_{y_2}) and y_1 is not contained in the support of D_{y_2}. Hence y_1 and y_2 are isolated points in Non-klt$(Y, D_{y_1} + D_{y_2})$. Then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \geq 2$ by an argument similar to the discussion above. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka fibration satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. Let m'_0 be a positive integer divisible by bN. Assume that $h^0(X, mK_X) \geq 2$ for all $m \geq m'_0$ such that m is divisible by bN. Let $X' \to Y' \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be any morphism induced by sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(m'_0K_X)$ on an appropriate birational model $f' : X' \to Y'$ of $f : X \to Y$. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be a very general point. $f_W : W \to V$ denotes the restriction of f' to the fiber over p. If there is a positive integer s divisible by bN such that $|sK_W|$ induces the Iitaka fibration for any very general point p, then $|tK_X|$ induces the Iitaka fibration for all $t \geq m'_0(2s+2)+s$ such that t is divisible by bN.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "f_W"] & X' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f'"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
V \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \\
p \arrow[r, phantom, "\in"] & \mathbb{P}^1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Following [Kol86, Theorem 4.6] and its proof, $|(m'_0(2s+1)+s)K_X|$ gives the Iitaka fibration. Since mK_X is effective for all $m \geq m'_0$ such that m is divisible by bN, the assertion follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the moduli part is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly trivial by Theorem 3.6, we always have $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y+M_Y+B_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y+B_Y)$. The proof is by induction on the dimension of X. It is well known that the theorem holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the theorem holds when $\mathrm{dim}X \leq n-1$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka firation satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 with $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Y = n'$. By Proposition 6.1, for any very general point $y \in Y$, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D_y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda(K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ with $\lambda < \alpha(\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y))^{-1/n'} + \beta$, where α and β are two positive constants depending only on n, b and k, such that y is an isolated point in $\text{Non-klt}(Y, D_y)$.

If $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + B_Y) \geq 1$, Proposition 6.1, Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 imply that there exists an positive integer m_n only depending on n, b and k such that mK_X gives the Iitaka fibration if $m \geq m_n$ and divisible by bN.

Now we prove the case when $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + B_Y) < 1$. By induction, there exists a positive integer s such that $|sK_W|$ gives the Iitaka fibration for all W with $\dim W \leq n-1$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. By Proposition 6.1, Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2, $|mK_X|$ induces the Iitaka fibration, for

$$m = 8bNs\lceil \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)^{1/n'}} + \beta + 1\rceil,$$

so $\phi_{m(K_Y+M_Y+B_Y)}$ gives a birational map. As mM_Y is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly trivial Cartier divisor, $\phi_{K_Y+(2n'+1)m(K_Y+B_Y)}$ is also birational by Lemma 2.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}(Y, (2n'+1)m(K_Y + B_Y)) &= (2n'+1)^{n'} m^{n'} \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + B_Y) \\
&\leq (2n'+1)^{n'} (8bNs)^{n'} (\alpha + \beta + 2)^{n'} \\
&\leq (2n+1)^{n} (8bNs)^{n} (\alpha + \beta + 2)^{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is a constant A such that $\mathrm{vol}(Y, (2n'+1)m(K_Y + B_Y)) \leq A$. Then Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.5 imply that the set of such log pairs (Y, B_Y) is log birationally bounded.

By Theorem 2.6, there exists a constant $\delta_n > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + B_Y) \geq \delta_n.$$

So we are done by applying Proposition 6.1, Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 again. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Remark 6.7, Lemma 7.1, Lemma 7.2 and the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we only need to show that $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ is bounded from below.

Since Kodaira dimension of X is $n-1$, the general fiber of f is an elliptic curve. The j-invariant defines a rational map $J : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Replacing Y by a higher model, we may assume that J is a morphism. Then by [PS09, 7.16], we have

$$M_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{12} J^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)).$$

$J^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ is base point free on Y. Picking a general member $D_Y \in |J^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))|$, we can assume that $\frac{1}{12}D_Y + B_Y$ is simple normal crossings and

$$K_Y + M_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y + \frac{1}{12}D_Y + B_Y.$$

If $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + \frac{1}{12}D_Y + B_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) \geq 1$, we are done. So we can assume that $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + \frac{1}{12}D_Y + B_Y) = \mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y) < 1$. By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is easy to see that the set $\{\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + \frac{1}{12}D_Y + B_Y)\}$ satisfies the *DCC*. Therefore, $\mathrm{vol}(Y, K_Y + M_Y + B_Y)$ is bounded from below. □

Remark 7.3. In [PS09, Conjecture 7.13], Prokhorov and Shokurov list a series of conjectures concerning the effective Iitaka fibration problem. If one can prove the effective adjunction conjecture ([PS09, Conjecture 7.13(3)]), i.e. there exists a positive integer I depending only on the dimension of X such that IM_Y is linearly equivalent to a base point free divisor on Y, then by Remark 6.7 and the argument in the proof of 1.3, one can prove Theorem 1.2 without the hypothesis (1).

References

[Amb04] F. Ambro, *Shokurov's boundary property*, J.Differential Geom. 67 (2004), 229-255.

[Amb05] F. Ambro, *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), 385-403.

[BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405-468.

[Cor07] A. Corti ed, *Flips for 3-fold and 4-fold*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 35, Oxford University Press, 2007.

[FG10] O. Fujino and Y. Gongyo, *On canonical bundle formula and subadjunctions*, arXiv: 1009.3996v1.

[FM00] O. Fujino and S. Mori, *A canonical bundle formula*, J.Differental Geom. 56 (2000), 167-188.

[Fuj03] O. Fujino, *Algebraic fiber spaces whose general fibers are of maximal Albanese dimension*, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 172 (2003), 111-127.

[Fuj09] O. Fujino, *Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program*, arXiv:0909.4445v2.

[HM06] C. Hacon and J. M^{c}Kernan, *Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. 166 (2006), 1-25.

[HMX10] C. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan and C. Xu, *On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type*, arXiv:1011.1464v1.

[Kaw85] Y. Kawamata, *Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 363 (1985), 1-46.

[Kaw98] Y. Kawamata, *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors II*, Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), 893-899.

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[Kol86] J. Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves I*, Ann. Math. 123 (1986), 11-42.

[Lai09] C.-J. Lai, *Varieties fibered by good mininmal models*, arXiv:0912.3012v2.

[Laz1] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Frenzgebiete 48, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2004.

[Laz2] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Frenzgebiete 49, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2004.

[M^{c}K02] J. M^{c}Kernan, *Boundedness of log terminal Fano pairs of bounded index*, arXiv: 0205214v1.

[Mor87] S. Mori, *Classification of Higher-dimensional Varieties*, Porceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume 47 (1987), 269-331.

[Pac07] G. Pacienza, *Pluricanonical systems on projective varieties of general type*, available at http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/ ∼ pacienza/notes-grenoble.pdf.

[Pac09] G. Pacienza, *On the uniformity of the Iitaka fibration*, Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), no. 4, 663-681.

[PS09] Y. Prokhorov and V. Shokurov, *Towards the second main theorem on complements*, J. Algebraic Geom. 18 (2009), no. 1, 151-199.

[Rin07] A. Ringler, *On a conjecture of Hacon and M^{c}Kernan in dimension three*, arXiv:0708.3662v2.

[Tak06] S. Takayama, *Pluricanonical systems on algebraic varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. 165 (2006), 551-587.

[Tod07] G. Todorov, *Pluricanonical maps for threefolds of general type*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57 (2007), no. 4, 1315-1330.

[Tsu06] H. Tsuji, *Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type I*, Osaka J. Math. 43 (2006), 967-995.

[TX09] G. Todorov and C. Xu, *On effective log Iitaka fibration for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Algebra Number Theory 3 (2009), no. 6, 697-710.

[VZ09] E. Viehweg, D.-Q. Zhang, *Effective Iitaka fibrations*, J. Algebraic Geom. 18 (2009), no. 4, 711-730.

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112
E-mail address: `jiang@math.utah.edu`